

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,927		07/31/2003	Shinichi Hara	1232-5091	9675	
27123	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER		
		IEGAN, L.L.P.	ZEC, FILIP			
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
112 // 1011	,			3744	 	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/631,927	HARA, SHINICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Filip Zec	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	anuary 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/631,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 21-23, 25-28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,813,233 to Okuda et al. In FIG. 20, Okuda teaches a cooling system comprising a radiational member (41, 42) spaced apart from a rear surface of a cooled optical element (39); a detector for detecting temperature of the optical element (52-55, FIG. 27) as input to a controller (FIG. 28) for controlling the constant (col 17, lines 40-62), reference temperature of the optical element at a predetermined, target value (col 18, lines 1-15); a shielding element (16, FIG. 8) for protection; a heat transfer system comprising a coolant channel for coolant to flow through (50, FIG. 24); a cold plate (2, FIG. 9); a Peltier element (1, FIG. 9), having a first surface (1a, FIG. 9) contacting said radiational member and a second surface (1b, FIG. 9), opposite said first surface and a radiator block (3, FIG. 9) contained in the coolant channel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/631,927

Art Unit: 3744

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 24 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,813,233 to Okuda et al., in view of U.S. Patent 6,098,408 to Levinson et al. Okuda discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a radiation cooling system spaced apart from the cooled optical element, substantially as claimed with the exception of stating that the optical element cooled is a mirror having a light which passes through at a wavelength of 10-15 nm, said mirror being either a projection or illumination optical system and that the optical system is used for exposing an object to a pattern. Levinson shows a radially cooled mirror (col 1, line 55) having a light passing through it at a wavelength of 5-70 nm and used for exposing an object to a pattern (col 1, lines 20-22) to be old in the cooling art. Also, a mirror is an integral part of any projection or illumination optical system and this limitation bares no patentable weight on the specific cooling feature, which is claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Levinson to modify the system of Okuda, by specifying the mirror, said mirror being either a projection or illumination optical system, as a cooled element in order to lessen the error in optical temperature sensing.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/631,927 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,927 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is (571) 272-4815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Filip Zec Examiner

CHERYL TYLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit 3744